Application No. 10/590,118

Paper Dated: September 30, 2008

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of September 15, 2008

Attorney Docket No. 4544-062454

REMARKS

According to the Notice mailed on September 15, 2008, the Amendment filed on June 16, 2008 was non-compliant. Applicant now resubmits the September 15, 2008 Amendment with corrections to the status identified for claims 17 and 18, and listing claims 1-10 as being cancelled. Accordingly, entry and consideration of this Amendment is respectfully requested.

Prior to this amendment, claims 11-21 were pending, and were rejected according to the Office Action of January 14, 2008. In response to the Office Action, Applicants have amended the specification and claims 11, 15, 17, 18 and 21. Applicants have also added new claims 22-25.

The Office Action asserted objections against the title, specification and claims; and rejected claims 11-16 and 18-21 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite. In view of the amendments to the title, specification and claims, Applicants respectfully request that the objections and rejections be withdrawn, and that claims 11-25 be allowed.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

By:

William H. Logsdon

Reg. No. 22,132

Attorney for Applicants

700 Koppers Building

436 Seventh Avenue

Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Telephone: (412) 471-8815 Facsimile: (412) 471-4094

Email: webblaw@webblaw.com